



Unisys Corporation

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction.....	7
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	7
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	7
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	7
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	8
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?	8
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	9
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	9
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	11
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	12
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	13
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities	14
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	14
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	15
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?	15
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	16
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	20
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	20
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?	21
C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities	24
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	24
(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.....	25
(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.	35
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?	36

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	36
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	37
(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.	40

C4. Governance 41

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	41
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	42
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.	42
(4.2) Does your organization’s board have competency on environmental issues?	43
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?	44
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	45
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?	47
(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	48
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?	52
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.	52
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?	54
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?	54
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?	56
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.	56

C5. Business strategy 58

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	58
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.	58
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.	60
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?	61
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?	62

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....	63
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.	65
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?.....	66
(5.4.3) Provide any additional contextual and/or verification/assurance information relevant to your organization’s taxonomy alignment.	66
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?.....	66
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?.....	67
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?.....	67
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?.....	69
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?.....	69
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.	70
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.	72
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	73
(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.....	77
(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?.....	79

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach 81

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	81
--	----

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change..... 82

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?.....	82
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	82
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?.....	82
(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?.....	83
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.	84
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	84
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?.....	84
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	85
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?.....	93

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	93
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	94
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.	103
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.	103
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	104
(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	107
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	107
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	108
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?	114
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?	114
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?	114
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	114
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	127
(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.	127
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	178
(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.	178
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.	218
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?.....	219
(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.	219
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?.....	232
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	232
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	233
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	233
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....	234
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.	236
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	236
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.	240

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	252
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	271
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.	275
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?	278
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	278
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?	282
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.	282
(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).	287
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	290
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.	290
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	290
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	294
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?	295
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?	295
(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.	295
(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?	297

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity 298

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	298
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?	298
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?	298

C13. Further information & sign off 300

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?	300
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?	300
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.	301
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.	302

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Unisys Corporation (Unisys) is a worldwide information technology (“IT”) company that provides a portfolio of IT services, software and technology that solves mission-critical problems for clients. Unisys has implemented strong environmental requirements for its supply chain. Those requirements include environmental reporting, pollution prevention, and product content restrictions. Unisys is actively engaged in providing energy-efficient products. Actual energy consumption of our products varies based on the customer's usage patterns as well as on the source of the energy used to power those products. Unisys end-of-life product disposition program is designed to help mitigate Unisys carbon footprint with the reduction of carbon dioxide (CO2) associated with disposition of end-of-life electric and electronic equipment. To address proper recovery, recycling, and disposal of customer end-of-life electrical and electronic equipment that is consistent with legislative or regulatory requirements, Unisys utilizes only environmentally sound disposition partners. In the European Union these partners are conducting business in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) Directive and related Member State legislation. Unisys is committed to complying with governmental legislative and regulatory requirements for providing environmentally sound recovery, recycling, and disposal of customer end-of-life Unisys-branded electrical and electronic equipment. In 2023, Unisys made progress towards our goal for net zero greenhouse gases (GHG) from Scope 1 and 2 sources by 2030 (the “Net Zero Goal”). We define “net zero” as the state achieved when our anthropogenic Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions to the atmosphere are balanced by anthropogenic removals. Our definition of net zero and our Net Zero Goal are limited to our Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions sources and do not encompass Scope 3 GHG emissions. Our Net Zero Goal is not validated in connection with the Science Based Targets Initiative’s Corporate Net-Zero Standard or

classified as a “net zero” target by the Science Based Targets Initiative. We have taken an important first step on the journey to our Net Zero Goal with a near-term target, validated by the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi), to reduce absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions by 75% by 2030 from a 2020 base year. SBTi deemed this target to be in conformance with SBTi Criteria and Recommendations - version 4.2). This target was not validated in connection with SBTi’s Corporate Net-Zero Standard or classified as a “net zero” target by SBT, and we intend to assess options to further address our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by exploring options for harder to abate Scope 1 and 2 emissions including tools such as Renewable Energy Credits for Scope 2 emissions. To achieve this goal, by CY 2030 we will optimize energy efficiency in our operations, right size the real estate footprint to align with a hybrid working model and pursue economically feasible opportunities to source renewable power. Since 1997, obsolete products have been collected from within Unisys and from Unisys customers. In 2023, more than 300,000 pounds of EOL electronics were collected as a part of this program. Those obsolete products were processed through third-party facilities. Many parts were refurbished for future reuse as replacement parts, while remaining materials were delivered to end-of-life electronic equipment vendors for recycling and energy recovery. In 2023 Unisys did not dispose of any U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act hazardous waste from its manufacturing operations. Whenever possible, Unisys promotes recycling opportunities, reduces waste generation, and encourages the wise use of supplies and materials during, and after, their useful life. In its commitment to a cleaner environment, Unisys is involved in a variety of product-focused initiatives that help the company, Unisys customers and the environment. These initiatives include the use of green vehicles for employee transit in India, photocopiers are set for double sided printing to conserve paper, establishing central collection spots within our locations for recycling of paper, cans and plastics to allow associates to make a conscious decision to recycle, expanding a hybrid working environment and including Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) criteria into selection of new locations, construction and remodeling projects. In 2023, our efficiency efforts to optimize our real estate footprint included migrating to more efficient facilities at two data centers in New Zealand and one in the United States for additional cost and energy savings.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	12/31/2023	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

2015000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US9092143067

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

UIS

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

005358932

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Peru | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Colombia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Argentina |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uruguay | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lithuania |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Costa Rica | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Puerto Rico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Luxembourg | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Switzerland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Taiwan, China |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Zealand | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hong Kong SAR, China |

Philippines

United States of America

Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

Upstream value chain

Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Unisys leveraged data from its procurement, finance, engineering, and facility management teams to calculate estimated emissions using emission factors from the U.S. EPA, DEFRA, and IEA. Each category's calculation methodology was then established to document the approach and data utilized to complete the Scope 3 emissions estimates for each relevant category. The estimation combines the relevant data (U.S. dollars [USD] spent, kilowatt-hours [kWh], mass [lbs.], etc.) provided by Unisys and emissions factors (MTCO_{2e} per unit measured) to calculate the estimated total emissions for each category. The results of this inventory showcase the contributions of the various applicable categories so Unisys can better leverage emissions reduction efforts.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

(1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

We have found the mapping of plastics in our value chain to be insignificant based on the number of plastics used, it not integral to current or future business operations.

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

2

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

We use this short-term timeline when we need to strategize financial projects for business quarters.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

2

(2.1.3) To (years)

4

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

We have set medium-term targets with clients and internally that link our profit to environmental goals.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

4

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

All long-term goals are based on financial feasibility, client needs, and environmental competency.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific
- Local

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- Internal company methods

Databases

- Nation-specific databases, tools, or standards
- Regional government databases

Other

- Desk-based research

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Tornado
- Wildfires
- Heat waves
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

- Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- Increased severity of extreme weather events

Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- Changes to national legislation

Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
- Changing customer behavior

Reputation

- Impact on human health
- Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback

Technology

- Transition to lower emissions technology and products

Liability

- Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Local communities
- Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

The company takes into consideration risks associated to the company itself, stakeholders, locality, and many other individuals and groups to assess its opportunities. The company has safety committees and meetings at the site level and the stakeholder level to identify and manage dependencies and impacts the company may be having on all company levels (global, national, local). Potential risks associated with climate change are evaluated for our supplier base and are assessed for potential financial impacts based on products provided and delivery locations. One example of this risk includes loss of revenues associated with supply chain disruptions due to climate change-related issues, such as severe weather events. This evaluation includes but is not limited to: Human Resources to assess impacts to the work force; Real Estate/Facilities to assess risks to the facility structure and infrastructure; Information Technology for disruptions to networks; and Service Delivery to assess impacts associated with the transfer of delivering service from alternate locations. These assessments are part of the annual Business Continuity reviews. These reviews assess short- and medium-term impacts.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

The company takes guidance from relevant reporting standards at the local and national level based on a facilities location. We also assess weather as a major dependency because a majority of our associates must travel to clients' sites. We also consider public transportation and track union organization movements as many of our associates use public transportation to travel to clients' sites. Other factors are identified on a case-by-case basis when it affects the company and if possible, before it affects the company as we try to implement preventative action to limit disruption to business.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

- Other location with substantive nature-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities, please specify :Changing weather patterns with increased frequency of severe weather.

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Evaluate all locations and identify critical operations. Based on that review we identified those critical sites that may be impacted.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

- No, we do not have a list/geospatial map of priority locations

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative
- Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

Absolute decrease

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

2000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

We evaluate risks and determine what the potential impact could be on the organization. We define risks as areas that may affect our Net Zero emissions goal poorly by increasing our GHG emissions and CO2 footprint. Quantitatively by our GHG emissions increasing and qualitatively by the affect we see on our sustainability reports and shareholders/customers.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Qualitative

Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

20000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

We evaluate the opportunities and assess what positive impact could be realized. We define opportunities as areas we may be able to strength our environmental footprint to minimize our emissions. We see quantitatively opportunities by seeing our CO2 emissions decreasing and qualitatively by the ideas we see from shareholders, General Counsel, health safety committees, etc. such as increasing environmental awareness across the company.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, only in our upstream/downstream value chain

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

As we move toward our Net Zero emissions goal in 2030, we have found that our value chain is getting smaller and smaller, therefore the risks identified in our value chain have a lesser risk to our direct operations.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(3.1.3) Please explain

Plastics are not a major issue in our value chain, therefore there are no risks involved.

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

- Changes to regulation of existing products and services

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

May cause change to standard operating procedures

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

Disruption to workforce management and planning

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

If legislation changes and affects our day-to-day operations it could disrupt clients needs for service and data centers operations.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

140000

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

525000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

This is an estimate upon if we needed to be at 50% renewable or carbon free sources and if we needed to be at 75% renewable or carbon free sources due to changes in regulation.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

Engage with customers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

500000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

May cause delay in client services and we may provide discounts due to delays.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Inform customers of why there are delays due to poor weather conditions and provide credits if needed.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

- Changing customer behavior

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Ensuring that the environment, sustainability and governance actions being taken are consistent with reducing carbon footprint and being a responsible corporate citizen.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

If customer behavior changes, we will have to respond by increasing our R&D to ensure we can supply customers with their changing needs in the marketplace.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

1000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

10000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

This is an estimate assuming that clients may look for more cloud-based computing solutions that we do not offer. The figure is an estimate based on an increase in research and development costs to provide more of the necessary solutions. This financial impact range assumes that the potential value of the opportunity is worth 10 million and it will require a 3% investment in research and development to develop the product.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

Increase investment in R&D

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

300000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Estimated cost assumes that the potential value of the opportunity is worth 10 million, and it will require and 3% investment in research and development to develop the product.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

The response is to ensure investment in the necessary actions for research and development of new products to reduce the carbon footprint.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Emerging regulations including mandatory GHG reporting such as the proposed SEC Regulations. This will require additional resources such as 3rd party verification, which will increase operating expenses and impact profitability.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

If carbon pricing mechanism change from emerging regulation, specifically in GHG reporting, this will require additional resources such as 3rd party verification. This will increase operating expenses and impact probability.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

- Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

10000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

25000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Based on discussions with various 3rd party verification firms, the estimated annual costs are projected at approximately 10,000 to 25,000 USD.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

- Greater compliance with regulatory requirements

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

15000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Based on discussions with various 3rd party verification firms, the estimated annual costs is projected at approximately 15,000 USD.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Potential mitigation efforts would include looking to more efficient methods to deliver data center solutions either through the cloud or by colocation into data centers that utilize renewable power sources in the United Kingdom to optimize efficiency of the operations. As part of lease renewals, we ensure offices are the appropriate footprint for the flexible working environment and are located in energy efficient buildings. These actions will reduce energy consumption and in turn reduce GHG emissions. Where available, we evaluate the costs to purchase power from renewable or non-carbon generating sources.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

There are operations that can be impacted by severe weather (Typhoons/Hurricanes/Tornados), by drought that can create brush fires and by extreme (high/low) temperatures

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Severe weather, even in the short term, would affect direct operations as associates would not be able to come into the office, power outages would affect offices and home offices, and other intense weather would slow down production.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

100000

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

5000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The severity of damage and the number of locations impacted drives the range of costs. The cost impact is based on the following assumptions – a Typhoon destroys a manage service center which requires the operations to be rebuilt. Through Business Continuity planning the operations can be supported for a period of time from other operations in the world. While insurance will cover a portion of the costs to rebuild there will be costs incurred. It is assumed that there will be costs that are not covered.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

- Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

1000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

This would require redundant facilities to be created, which some already exist, but additional facilities might be required.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

It is difficult to address as the range of the impacts and costs to mitigate the risk since the ranges of impact are wide.

[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

- Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

The effects of these risks are minimal to none with less than 1% based on our review of legislation changing due to climate change that would affect our current day-to-day operations.

[Add row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

Move to more energy/resource efficient buildings

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Downsized data centers to fit business needs and created more home-based work.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Long-term
- The opportunity has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.6.1.13) Effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the reporting period

Will increase financial position, performance and cash flows as large data centers are quite expensive to sustain from an energy perspective.

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Decrease energy usage, lower rent for buildings, and less employees driving to a physical building will all positively affect the company.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

Yes

(3.6.1.16) Financial effect figure in the reporting year (currency)

2500000

(3.6.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term - minimum (currency)

4000000

(3.6.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

6000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

These numbers are based upon the substantive impact on our SGA for a facility cost with a reduction of 10% as well as impact our potential future cost of renewable energy credits to meet our net zero goal in 2030.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

1000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Roughly a million dollars to move out of buildings and into new buildings (rental agreements) in multiple countries.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Work with real estate team to identify better buildings and move operations in a timely manner.

[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1000000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Minimal resources were needed to realize the effects of the environmental opportunities. Based on our strategy of downsizing our data centers and creating more home-based work, it has only cost us 1 million to better align with our environmental goals and opportunities.

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Executive directors or equivalent

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

People: Building a diverse workforce that represents the communities we live in. Culture: Cultivating a respectful, equitable and inclusive workplace where every associate belongs. Community: Advocating for issues that matter to our people and communities. Market: Leveraging diversity, equity and inclusion in our business practices.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judged to be unimportant or not relevant	Not applicable to our operations.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Director on board
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Board-level committee
- President
- General Counsel

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Individual role descriptions

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement

Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy

Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Climate change risks and opportunities are discussed at least annually and as needed when publishing sustainability data.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
- Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

- Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Management-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Staff-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Experience in an organization that is exposed to environmental-scrutiny and is going through a sustainability transition

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judged to be unimportant or not relevant	Such a small footprint, biodiversity is not a relevant issue.

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Implementing a climate transition plan

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CEO has the authority to establish the goals and objectives of the Corporation and therefore has ultimate responsibility for actions taken to reduce GHG emissions. On an annual basis reports of progress are provided which include the reduction in energy consumption associated with the consolidation of locations as well as the utilization of Leadership in Energy and Environment Design considerations in the selection, and renovation of existing locations. The rationale for the CEO having these responsibilities is that the goals and objective to implement meaningful change reach across multiple organizations and the CEO has the ultimate responsibility and can exercise control over these organizations in order to effect change.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Safety, Health, Environment and Quality committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Implementing a climate transition plan
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :General Counsel

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Update on progress towards environmental goals and work with individuals and other teams to meet environmental goals.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

10

(4.5.3) Please explain

Successful management of environmental matters is one of our performance objectives. If we do not achieve objectives we do not get a good performance rating which in turn impacts our bonus and merit increase and a monetary incentive to do well.

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

Bonus - % of salary

Salary increase

- Profit share

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index
- Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Strategy and financial planning

- Achievement of climate transition plan

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- Reduction in emissions intensity

Resource use and efficiency

- Energy efficiency improvement
- Reduction in total energy consumption

Policies and commitments

- Increased supplier compliance with environmental requirements
- New or tighter environmental requirements applied to purchasing practices

Engagement

- Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues
- Increased value chain visibility (traceability, mapping)
- Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- The incentives are not linked to an incentive plan, or equivalent (e.g. discretionary bonus in the reporting year)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

We set annual goals for all levels of staff that may have environmental goals all the way up to the CEO's goals. In the way we work together on common goals that will improve the company at multiple levels.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

We work from the top down on goals. Our CEO, Legal Team, EHS team, etc. will align on an environmental goal and each level will have tasks they need to achieve to get us all to the common overall goal. In this instance we have a climate transition plan, and every team has tasks that relate to this plan. We review annual these goals to ensure we stay on track and achieve them.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

- Environmental, Health, and Safety manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary
- Salary increase
- Profit share

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

- Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Strategy and financial planning

- Achievement of climate transition plan

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- Reduction in emissions intensity

Resource use and efficiency

- Energy efficiency improvement
- Reduction in total energy consumption

Policies and commitments

- Increased supplier compliance with environmental requirements
- New or tighter environmental requirements applied to purchasing practices

Engagement

- Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues
- Increased value chain visibility (traceability, mapping)
- Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- The incentives are not linked to an incentive plan, or equivalent (e.g. discretionary bonus in the reporting year)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

We set annual goals for all levels of staff that may have environmental goals all the way up to the CEO's goals. In this way we work together on common goals that will improve the company at multiple levels.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

We work from the top down on goals. Our CEO, Legal Team, EHS team, etc. will align on an environmental goal and each level will have tasks they need to achieve to get us all to the common overall goal. In this instance we have a climate transition plan, and every team has tasks that relate to this plan. We review annual these goals to ensure we stay on track and achieve them.

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

We outline how we verify and account for our environmental data and drive environmental action as well as identify internal leadership roles and responsibilities. We have committed to carbon free sources with carbon offsets and ideally 100% renewable energy usage organization wide. Next year we will get third party verification on Scope 3 which will help us achieve senior management goals of identifying gaps in our current CO2 emissions organization wide.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to avoidance of negative impacts on threatened and protected species
- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- Commitment to respect legally designated protected areas
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Climate-specific commitments

- Commitment to net-zero emissions

Social commitments

- Commitment to promote gender equality and women's empowerment
- Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of environmental requirements for procurement

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Climate change and carbon emissions.pdf

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)

UN Global Compact

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

A near term science-based target has been established with SBTi and Unisys is a signatory to the UN Global Compact and is focusing on relevant sustainable development goals. Member to align with sustainable and inclusive business practices.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

No, we have assessed our activities, and none could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

unisys-2023-sustainability-report.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Our process is addressed in our regular reporting to the general counsel to ensure consistency of our external engagements and environmental commitments. We communicate with our suppliers and other stakeholders to ensure their environmental commitments and transition plans align with Unisys' plans.

(4.11.9) Primary reason for not engaging in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select from:

- Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

(4.11.10) Explain why your organization does not engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

We have a minimal environmental footprint, and we may indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation with companies we support and actions we take to align with the Paris Agreement and other environmental goals.

[Fixed row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

- Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

- GRI
- TCFD

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

Governance

Value chain engagement

Emissions figures

Emission targets

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Page 11

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Unisys 2023 Sustainability Report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

None.

[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Annually

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

IEA 2DS

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical
- Policy
- Technology

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Stakeholder and customer demands

- Impact of nature footprint on reputation

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Global regulation
- Global targets
- Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

We can assume there will be developments in technology, and we do not know how that will affect emissions. We are uncertain in scenario of both qualitative and quantitative approaches of global regulation as global targets may change as well as requirements for methodology.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Development of an internal action plan that creates a pathway and an emissions trajectory consistent with at least a 50% chance of limiting the average global temperature rise to 1.5 to 2C.

[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Scenario analysis has helped our organization create positive impacts on how we will lower our CO2 emissions. It has helped us set goals and targets for 1.5 C alignment as well as create a better business sustainability policy.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Fossil fuel use is insignificant as supported by our scope 1 emission data.

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

We have a different feedback mechanism in place

(5.2.8) Description of feedback mechanism

Our feedback mechanisms include performance reviews of processes we have in place, one-on-one meetings with environmental team members, 360-degree assessment of processes and group board discussions.

(5.2.9) Frequency of feedback collection

Select from:

Annually

(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

To migrate away from on premise data centers to more energy efficient co-location data centers that are powered by renewable energy sources.

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

Ahead of schedule and look to have it fully implemented by no later than 2026.

(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

Unisys 2023 Sustainability Report.pdf

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

No other environmental issue considered

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- Products and services
- Upstream/downstream value chain
- Investment in R&D
- Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We are providing services and products that mitigate impacts on the environment, which include carbon footprint, waste generation, and recyclability of the products. There are opportunities for us to decrease the above listed impacts on our journey to Net Zero Emissions.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We evaluate over 75% of our key suppliers to ensure Environment, Social and Governance is a part of their operations but that means 25% of them are at risk for not meeting similar environmental goals we have set. This is a risk as this 25% could make an impact on our Net Zero Emission goal.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We have invested in the development of technologies that will allow us and our clients to operate at maximum environmental efficiency. This investment shows our determination in getting to Net Zero emissions.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We have chosen to downsize many of our data centers to reduce our environmental impact and decrease environmental risks related to GHG, water, and energy.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- Revenues
- Direct costs
- Acquisitions and divestments

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

With our alignment on 1.5 C we have made strategic financial decisions to increase our home-based work and downsize our data centers. This has cost us revenue in order to make this transition but we believe it will have long term benefits for the company.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.4.3) Provide any additional contextual and/or verification/assurance information relevant to your organization’s taxonomy alignment.

	Additional contextual information relevant to your taxonomy accounting
	None.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judged to be unimportant or not relevant	<i>Environmental footprint is so small, no internal pricing is necessary.</i>

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
Customers	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
Investors and shareholders	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
Other value chain stakeholders	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Our threshold is 78% of our spend for capital goods and purchased services. If a supplier meets this criterion, we will work with suppliers' that have science based align targets

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

74

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Procurement spend

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

78% of spend with suppliers that have Net-Zero targets. In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

The EHS team, Supply chain, and procurement team work together to ensure supplier non-compliance is addressed. All suppliers receive a a supply chain survey to ensure our goals on procurement are aligned.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Disclosure of GHG emissions to your organization (Scope 1, 2 and 3)

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Off-site third-party audit
- Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

None

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
- Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance
- Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance
- Re-integrating suppliers back into upstream value chain based on the successful and verifiable completion of activities

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We work with suppliers on their CO2 emissions reductions and 1.5C alignment goals by providing resources to understand laws and regulations regionally and globally. We offer examples of how we have lowered our CO2 and other GHG emissions and how other companies similar to our suppliers have set goals and met them.

[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Adaptation to climate change

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Support suppliers to set their own environmental commitments across their operations

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We encourage our suppliers to establish their own science based align targets. We explain our targets and goals, such as the Net Zero by 2030 and encourage our suppliers to set their own goals. We have a supplier questionnaire that we send out annually to ask our suppliers what their Net Zero goals are to ensure they align with our goals. We measure success this way so we can have a quantitative idea of how close we are to our Net Zero goals. The supplier questionnaire gives us a definitive number of which suppliers are Net Zero aligned. Unisys has set an SBTi goal to have 78% of its spend in Categories 1 and 2 with suppliers that have SBTi-aligned targets for reducing Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2027. In 2022, 42% of spend (56% of the goal) for Categories 1 and 2 was with suppliers with an SBTi-aligned target. In 2023, 47% of spending (61% of the goal) for Categories 1 and 2 was with suppliers with an SBTi-aligned target. The total number of suppliers with SBTi-aligned targets in 2022 was 41, and that number increased to 78 suppliers with SBTi-aligned targets in 2023.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

Unknown

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
- Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
- Collaborate with stakeholders in creation and review of your climate transition plan
- Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Working with our customers and clients on shared goals creates a stronger business-client relationship and ensures we are all moving in a sustainable direction to drive environmental action.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We are getting closer to Net-Zero Emissions and fighting climate change on multiple levels versus just in direct operations. Proving year after year by having lowered our GHG and Energy consumption.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with stakeholders in creation and review of your climate transition plan
- Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
- Engage with stakeholders to advocate for policy or regulatory change

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We work with investors and shareholders to ensure they have an understanding of our environmental goals and initiatives. We provide an inclusive environment to put forth ideas to achieve our Net Zero and 1.5 C Alignment.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Because we are inclusive with our investors and shareholders being in line with our environmental goals and initiatives, this drives our internal teams to meet our Net Zero Emissions and 1.5 C alignment. We have reduced our carbon footprint and physical locations in many regions.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Regulatory Agencies

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Less than 1%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We implement environmentally friendly strategies to address clean-up of impaired sites.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

It helps us lower our CO2 emissions and aligns with regulatory desires for green solutions. We will measure our success of these engagements by the CO2e reduced as compared to other remedies.

[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

Row 1

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Change to provision of goods and services

Reduce packaging weight

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

With Dell we have reduced the packaging of products shipped.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

Improved resource use and efficiency

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

0-1 year

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

No

(5.12.11) Please explain

No because it is driven by the amount of clients we are able to do initiatives with.

Row 2

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Change to supplier operations

Implement energy reduction projects

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Exist at our Eagan location and will migrate this client to a more efficient data center that is powered by renewable energy.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

Improved resource use and efficiency

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

0-1 year

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

Yes, lifetime CO2e savings only

(5.12.9) Estimated lifetime CO2e savings

200

(5.12.11) Please explain

No because it is driven by the amount of clients we are able to do initiatives with.

[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

(5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

Other, please specify :Have not been requested or prompted on any initiatives.

(5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

We have company-wide environmental initiatives in support of our net-zero goal. Example: We have set a goal of 75% reductions scope 1 and scope 2 by 2030 as compared to baseline year of 2020.

[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The company fights climate change by evaluating financial risks based on operational needs and functions.</i>
Plastics	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The company tries to limit its use of single use plastic products in our daily work.</i>
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :NA	<i>We are not providing environmental data at this time for biodiversity as our environmental footprint is minimal.</i>

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

Yes, a change in methodology

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

*Due to data quality increases, a more specific emission factor was identified and applied to spend for transportation in Scope 3 Category 4 - Upstream Transportation and Distribution. This resulted in a significant increase in emissions in this category. From 3,818 MT CO₂e in 2022 to 32,972.67 MT CO₂e in 2023.
[Fixed row]*

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

Yes

(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated

Select all that apply

Scope 3

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

For this identified change in methodology, we plan to review and potentially recalculate our base year emissions for the identified Scope 3 Category 4 - Upstream Transportation and Distribution changes according to our Inventory Management Plan (IMP). 1.4.1 Baseline Year Recalculation There are certain situations in which the baseline year must be recalculated to comply with GHG accounting and reporting principles. These situations include: • Structural changes in the organization (e.g., the transfer of ownership of emissions generating activities to another organization). This includes mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, and outsourcing or insourcing of emitting activities; • Changes in calculation methodology or improvement in accuracy of emission factors or activity data; and • Discovery of significant errors or a number of errors that cumulatively have a significant impact. Importantly, base year emissions will be adjusted only if there is a significant change (greater than 5% difference in total base year emissions) in emission factors, constants, or methodologies.

(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

We collect energy usage data from each location when possible and for locations where we do not have energy utilization data due to the nature of the Lease we use the square footage of the site and the Energy Information Administration (EIA)- Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS) Data to determine the consumption.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

- No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5600.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The measurement approach is operational control approach. Emission factors are from the EPA Emission Factors Hub and The Climate Registry. Inputs are quantity values from stationary combustion. Data is assumed to be complete and accurate. Choices are made in accordance with the GHG Protocol Revised Edition.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

165765

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The measurement approach is operational control approach. Emission factors are from the EPA eGRID and IEA Electricity Factors. Inputs are quantity values from non-renewable purchased electricity. Data is assumed to be complete and accurate. Choices are made in accordance with the GHG Protocol Revised Edition.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

165765

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Our base year emissions were calculated following the operational control approach, leveraging the location-based method. We are unable to recalculate this market-based figure back to 2006. As recommended by CDP, we are using our 2006 location-based figures as a proxy.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

58519

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category 1 emissions were estimated using both the spend-based calculation method and supplier-specific calculation method. Hybrid methodology that combined the spend-based and supplier-based methodologies to calculate emissions. Supplier-specific factors were prioritized over spend-based factors when performing calculations. All spend descriptions were matched with U.S. EPA Environmentally Extended Input-Output (EEIO) commodity and industry emission factors to find relevant emissions factors.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category 2 emissions were estimated using both the spend-based calculation method and supplier-specific calculation method. Hybrid methodology that combined the spend-based and supplier-based methodologies to calculate emissions. Supplier-specific factors were prioritized over spend-based factors when performing calculations. All spend descriptions were matched with U.S. EPA Environmentally Extended Input-Output (EEIO) commodity and industry emission factors to find relevant emissions factors.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5836

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category 3 emissions were calculated by taking the total of specific fuels and electricity and applying them to a factor from 2020 DEFRA WTT Fuel and Electricity factors.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3818

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category 4 emissions were calculated by taking spend totals for 3rd party, freight, and postage/mail (upstream transportation and distribution) and matching and applying those totals to factors from 2022 U.S. EPA EEIO supply chain factors.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

235

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category 5 emissions were calculated by taking the square footage data and applying it to factors from the waste management and remediation services dataset from the Greenhouse Gas Protocol.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6421

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated using company-provided emissions values.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3185

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category 7 emissions were calculated by taking total headcount data and applying that to specific factors from the U.S. EPA Factor Hub.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Did not assess.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2006

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.5.3) Methodological details

*Did not assess.
[Fixed row]*

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

430.32

(7.6.3) Methodological details

*The measurement approach is operational control approach. Emission factors are from the EPA Emission Factors Hub and The Climate Registry. Inputs are quantity values from stationary combustion. Data is assumed to be complete and accurate. Choices are made in accordance with the GHG Protocol Revised Edition.
[Fixed row]*

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

22800.7

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

22127.72

(7.7.4) Methodological details

The measurement approach is operational control approach. Emission factors are from the EPA eGRID, IEA Electricity Factors, Green-e Residual Factors, and AIB Residual Factors. Inputs are quantity values from non-renewable purchased electricity. Data is assumed to be complete and accurate. Choices are made in accordance with the GHG Protocol Revised Edition.

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

57278.21

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Supplier-specific method

Hybrid method

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

43

(7.8.5) Please explain

About 57% of Category 1 emissions were estimated using the spend-based calculation method, while the remaining 43% utilized the supplier-specific calculation method. Supplier-specific spend-based emission factors were calculated with supplier's revenue and total reported emissions, and applied to that suppliers spend in the supplier-specific method. Supplier-specific factors were prioritized overspend based factors when performing calculations. All spend descriptions were matched with U.S. EPA Environmentally Extended Input-Output (EEIO) commodity and industry emission factors to find relevant emissions factors.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

24851.28

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Supplier-specific method

Hybrid method

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

65

(7.8.5) Please explain

About 35% of Category 2 emissions were estimated using the spend-based calculation method, while the remaining 65% utilized the supplier-specific calculation method. Methodology that combined the spend-based and supplier-based methodologies to calculate emissions was used. Supplier-specific factors were prioritized overspend based factors when performing calculations. All spend descriptions were matched with U.S. EPA Environmentally Extended Input-Output (EEIO) commodity and industry emission factors to find relevant emissions factors.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

6431.32

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Category 3 emissions were calculated by taking the total of specific fuels and electricity and applying them to a factor from 2021 and 2023 DEFRA WTT Fuel and Electricity factors.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

32927.67

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Category 4 emissions were calculated by taking spend totals for 3rd party, freight, and postage/mail (upstream transportation and distribution) and matching and applying those totals to factors from 2023 U.S. EPA EEIO supply chain factors.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

30.29

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Category 5 emissions were calculated by taking the total weight of mixed municipal solid waste (MSW) and applying it to the matching 2023 U.S EPA factor.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4569.92

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Category 6 emissions were calculated by taking total hotel night stays and applying that to a global average from 2023 DEFRA hotel nights. Distance-based emissions are calculated by taking total miles driven for specific vehicle-types and applying that to specific factors from the U.S. EPA Factor Hub.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

940.25

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Category 7 distance-based emissions are calculated by taking total miles driven for specific vehicle-types and applying that to specific factors from the U.S. EPA Factor Hub.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not relevant as we do not have upstream leased assets.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not relevant as we have minimal downstream transportation and distribution as we are an information services company.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not relevant as we are an information services company.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4982.46

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Provided the number of products sold in the reporting year, their lifetime, and energy usage per lifetime.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

t directly consume energy. Unisys provided the number of products sold in the reporting year, their lifetime, and energy usage per lifetime. A global IEA electricity emission factor was applied by Antea Group to the total kilowatt hours of energy usage for the lifetimes of all products to calculate emissions.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Total mass data provided by Unisys for each material type sold. Provided the total mass of materials sold by material type. It was assumed all materials went to a landfill at end-of-life.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Total mass data provided by Unisys for each material type sold was used as an input by Antea Group to estimate the emissions associated with Category 12. The Unisys team provided the total mass of materials sold by material type. It was assumed all materials went to a landfill at end-of-life.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Moderate assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

IG_Unisys - Independent Assurance Statement (2024) FINAL.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

Page 2

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

AA1000AS

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Moderate assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

IG_Unisys - Independent Assurance Statement (2024) FINAL.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Page 2

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

AA1000AS

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Moderate assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

IG_Unisys - Independent Assurance Statement (2024) FINAL (1).pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Page 2

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

AA1000AS

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

Page 2

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

AA1000AS

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

253.14

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.91

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Change in renewable emissions value is calculated by dividing the change in metric tons of Scope 1 and Scope 2 totals from the previous year.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2840.84

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Change in other emission changes is calculated by dividing the change in metric tons (2,840.84) by the scope 1 and 2 totals from the previous year (27,726.23). This is in part due to the downsizing and consolidation of facilities to fewer and smaller buildings.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable, no divestments occurred.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable, no acquisitions occurred.

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable, no mergers occurred.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable, no significant change in output occurred.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable, no change in methodology relating to Scope 1 or Scope 2 occurred.

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable, no change in boundary relating to Scope 1 or Scope 2 occurred.

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4684.57

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

17.47

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Downsizing facilities to smaller buildings and eliminating buildings.

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable, other sources for reduction have been identified.

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO₂e)

1.06

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO₂e)

1.06

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4134.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4134.8

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.57

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.72

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.92

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

308

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

308

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.51

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.51

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.81

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

163.62

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

163.62

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.372

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.001

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.001

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.03

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.82

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

38.95

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

68.95

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.77

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.77

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

67.67

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

97.52

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2947.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2683.57

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.77

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.77

Lithuania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.35

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.32

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.72

Luxembourg

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.44

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.75

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

596.78

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

596.78

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.46

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.46

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.06

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

103.09

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

148.69

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

64.08

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.96

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.21

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.21

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

611.33

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

611.33

Puerto Rico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.81

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.81

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.67

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.73

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.54

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.9

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

314.68

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1176.34

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

669.53

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

88.27

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12294.21

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12271.39

Uruguay

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.64

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.64

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By facility

(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Sydney, Australia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18.02

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-33.83546

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

151.08704

Row 2

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Northfields, England

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.13

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

52.02

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

0.42

Row 3

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Vilnius, Lithuania

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.35

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

54.69

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

25.79

Row 5

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Sao Paulo, Brazil

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

23.33

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

46.37

Row 6

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Rio Negro, Colombia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.37

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

6.15

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-75.37

Row 7

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Blue Bell, Pennsylvania

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.36

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.09

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

75.15

Row 8

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Eagan, Minnesota

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

86.91

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

44.84

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-93.16918

Row 9

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Campo Grande, Brazil

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.41

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-20.44

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-54.65

Row 10

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Northampton, England

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

314.55

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

52.26

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-0.98

Row 12

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Luesden, Netherlands

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.06

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

52.13

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

5.43

Row 18

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Zhangjiang, China

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.81

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.21

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

121.63

Row 19

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Canberra, Australia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-35.275885

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

149.127979

Row 20

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Melbourne, Australia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-37.81241

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

144.96255

Row 21

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Beijing, China

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.907806

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

116.397583

Row 22

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Shanghai/Shanghai, China

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.21117

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

121.6309

Row 23

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hong Kong City, Hong Kong

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

22.30463

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

114.22854

Row 24

(7.17.2.1) Facility

No 8 Sun Yip Street

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

22.26606

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

114.25043

Row 25

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Bengaluru (RGA), India

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

12.89242

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

77.681458

Row 26

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Bengaluru (RIT), India

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

12.98049

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

77.558167

Row 27

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hyderabad (DLF), India

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

17.483536

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

78.310997

Row 28

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Gurgaon (DLF), India

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

28.481517

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

77.092431

Row 29

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Tokyo, Japan

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

35.677292

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

139.765198

Row 30

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Alor Setar, Malaysia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

6.114508

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

100.362776

Row 31

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Ipoh, Malaysia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

4.597456

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

101.085084

Row 32

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Johor Bahru, Malaysia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

1.46047

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

103.762849

Row 33

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

3.151936

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

101.663224

Row 34

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Kuantan, Malaysia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

3.817098

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

103.328239

Row 35

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Petaling Jaya, Malaysia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

3.109444

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

101.617101

Row 36

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Melaka, Malaysia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

2.182599

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

102.261743

Row 37

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Wellington, New Zealand

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-41.285078

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.774139

Row 38

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Citynet, Philippines

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

14.58076

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

121.052721

Row 39

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ETON Cetris, Philippines

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

14.6428

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

121.03929

Row 40

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Taipei, Taiwan

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

25.063413

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

121.553103

Row 41

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Vienna, Austria

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

48.23307

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

16.41421

Row 42

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hattersheim, Germany

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.0628

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

8.47926

Row 43

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Budapest One, Hungary

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.46511

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

19.01691

Row 44

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Budapest Westend, Hungary

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.51395

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

19.05926

Row 45

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Madrid, Spain

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.4477

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-3.65754

Row 46

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Santiago, Spain

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.89515

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-8.53414

Row 47

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Bern, Switzerland

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

46.92834

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

7.44493

Row 48

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Zurich, Switzerland

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.38767

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

8.5227

Row 49

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Engima Milton Keynes, United Kingdom

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

52.02558

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-0.67887

Row 50

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Campinas, Brazil

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-22.84928

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-47.15433

Row 51

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Bogota, Colombia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

4.67499

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-74.04796

Row 52

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lima, Peru

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-12.1063

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-77.03896

Row 53

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Dallas, United States

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

32.79177

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-96.80622

Row 54

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.30744

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-76.82518

Row 55

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Herndon, Virginia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

38.95486

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-77.39122

Row 56

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Irvine, California

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

33.6535

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-117.70654

Row 57

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Salt Lake City, Utah

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.72713

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-112.00462

Row 59

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Shenzen, China

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

22.54298

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

114.0596

Row 60

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Tianjin, China

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.08655

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

117.20006

Row 61

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hyderabad (Compugain), India

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

17.41794

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

78.34629

Row 62

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Georgetown, Malaysia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

5.41706

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

100.33557

Row 63

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Paraparamu, New Zealand

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-40.9162

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

175.00631

Row 64

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ABEL, New Zealand

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-36.900442

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.80594

Row 65

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ORBIT (FENZ), New Zealand

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-36.900442

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.80594

Row 66

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ORBIT (Reseller), New Zealand

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-36.900442

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.80594

Row 67

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ORBIT (Coop Bank), New Zealand

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-36.900442

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.80594

Row 68

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Intramuros, Philippines

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

14.59375

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.97484

Row 69

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Vito Cruz, Philippines

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

14.56346

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.99492

Row 70

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Macapagal, Philippines

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

15.54759

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.9864

Row 71

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Kaohsiung, Taiwan

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

22.62763

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.30153

Row 72

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Taichung City, Taiwan

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

24.14715

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.67308

Row 73

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Brussels, Belgium

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.84732

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.3579

Row 74

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Diegem, Belgium

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.89501

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.43729

Row 75

(7.17.2.1) Facility

La Garenne Colombes, France

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

48.90751

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

2.23842

Row 76

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lyon, France

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

45.76288

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.85388

Row 77

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Paris, France

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

48.89035

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

2.2433

Row 78

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Paris (Regus), France

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

48.87134

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

2.34095

Row 79

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Cologne, Germany

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.93755

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

6.96012

Row 80

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Dusseldorf, Germany

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.22304

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

6.783

Row 81

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Munich, Germany

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

48.13531

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

11.58012

Row 82

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Windhof, Luxemborg

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

49.64681

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

5.95545

Row 83

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Cidade da Cultura de Galicia, Spain

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.87062

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-8.52772

Row 84

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Aylesbury, United Kingdom

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.81779

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-0.82031

Row 85

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Leeds, United Kingdom

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

53.80056

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-1.5497

Row 86

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Cody Park, United Kingdom

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.2809

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-0.79196

Row 87

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Spring Park, United Kingdom

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.36434

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-0.02289

Row 88

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Buenos Aires, Argentina

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-34.60057

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-58.36676

Row 89

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Mendoza, Argentina

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-32.88592

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-68.84616

Row 90

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Parana, Argentina

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-31.74204

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-60.51222

Row 91

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Embratel, Brazil

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-19.9791

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-43.94562

Row 92

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Rio De Janiero, Brazil

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-22.91277

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-43.17721

Row 93

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Belo Horizonte, Brazil

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-19.9802

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-43.94593

Row 94

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Brasilia, Brazil

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-15.79301

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-47.91106

Row 95

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Medellin, Colombia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

6.20067

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-75.5745

Row 96

(7.17.2.1) Facility

San Pedro, Costa Rica

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

9.93334

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-84.05589

Row 97

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Mexico City, Mexico

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

19.43293

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-99.16359

Row 98

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Guaynabo, Puerto Rico

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

18.45449

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-66.08748

Row 99

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Montevideo, Uruguay

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-34.89518

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-56.18994

Row 100

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Sucre, Venezuela

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

10.5097

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-63.30592

Row 101

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Santiago, Chile

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-33.44164

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-70.64594

Row 102

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Ashburn, United States

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.02301

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-77.45502

Row 103

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Augusta, United States

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

33.47769

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-81.96226

Row 104

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Honolulu, United States

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

21.32476

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-157.85471

Row 105

(7.17.2.1) Facility

New York Centre, United States

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.77653

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-73.97576

Row 106

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Richmond, United States

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.64771

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-77.57975

Row 107

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Align Salt Lake City, United States

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.75948

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-111.89461

Row 108

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Halifax, Canada

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

44.64927

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-63.575

Row 109

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Ottawa, Canada

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

45.4195

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-75.70126

Row 110

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Azure, United States

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

44.631611

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-65.505051

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By facility

(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Germany - Munich

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.97

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.49

Row 2

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malaysia - Kuala Lumpur

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

109.41

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

108

Row 3

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Salt Lake City - Ninigret, Utah

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

38.31

Row 5

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Switzerland - Wabern

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.47

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.35

Row 6

(7.20.2.1) Facility

England - Aylesbury

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.24

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

Row 7

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Brazil - Embratel - Co Lo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

103.16

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

103.16

Row 8

(7.20.2.1) Facility

New Zealand - Wellington

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.29

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.29

Row 9

(7.20.2.1) Facility

England - Milton Keynes Enigma

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

193.73

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

329.77

Row 11

(7.20.2.1) Facility

France - Lyon

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.12

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.11

Row 12

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Australia - Melbourne - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.96

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.96

Row 13

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Puerto Rico - Guaynabo - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.81

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.81

Row 14

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Peru - Lima - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.21

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.21

Row 15

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Switzerland - Zurich

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.26

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.19

Row 16

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malaysia - Taman Melaka Raya

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58.13

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

57

Row 17

(7.20.2.1) Facility

India - Hyderabad, - DLF

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

263.63

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 18

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hungary - Budapest - BP1

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

28.87

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

41.6

Row 19

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Eagan, Minnesota

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8928

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9336.06

Row 20

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15

Row 21

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Brazil - Campo Grande

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.74

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.74

Row 23

(7.20.2.1) Facility

France - La Garenne Colombes

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.66

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.54

Row 24

(7.20.2.1) Facility

India RIT Bangalore

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

203.76

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

203.76

Row 25

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Germany - Hattershiem

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.67

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.74

Row 26

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Austria - Vienna

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.57

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 27

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malaysia - Kuantan

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

44

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

42

Row 29

(7.20.2.1) Facility

China - Shenzhen

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.75

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.75

Row 30

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Canada - Ottawa

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 31

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Irvine, California

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

251

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

253.5

Row 32

(7.20.2.1) Facility

China - Beijing

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.5

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.5

Row 33

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Netherlands - Amersterdam - Old Lake

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.36

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.51

Row 37

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Netherlands - Luesden

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

102.73

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

148.17

Row 38

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malaysia - Johor Bahru

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.32

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.32

Row 39

(7.20.2.1) Facility

China - Tianjin

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.18

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.18

Row 41

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Brazil - Rio de Janeiro, - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.15

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.15

Row 42

(7.20.2.1) Facility

China - Zhanjiang - Shanghai

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

143.54

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

143.54

Row 43

(7.20.2.1) Facility

England - North Hampton - BPH

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

544.93

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 44

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - New York - Broad Street, New York

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.96

Row 45

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Philippines - Quezon City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

82.6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

82.6

Row 46

(7.20.2.1) Facility

China - Shanghai

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.66

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.66

Row 47

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Spain - Madrid

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14.76

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

28.99

Row 48

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hungary - Budapest West End

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

38.8

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.92

Row 49

(7.20.2.1) Facility

India - Hyderabad - CompuGain

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

263.63

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 50

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Luxembourg - Windhof

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.44

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.75

Row 52

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Belgium - Brussels - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.16

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

Row 53

(7.20.2.1) Facility

England - Spring Park

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58.55

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

99.67

Row 54

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Taiwan - Taichung City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.92

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.92

Row 55

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Argentina - Parana - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.35

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.35

Row 56

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Brazil - Campinas - Co Lo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

171.93

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

171.93

Row 57

(7.20.2.1) Facility

New Zealand - Wellington - Datacomm Abel

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.15

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 58

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Germany - Duesseldorf

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.48

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.26

Row 59

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Australia - Canberra

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.24

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.24

Row 60

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.51

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.5

Row 61

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Honolulu, Hawaii

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

56

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.97

Row 62

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Blue Bell, Pennsylvania

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1484

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1477.43

Row 63

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Belgium - Diegem

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.56

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.75

Row 64

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Augusta, Georgia

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

369

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

370.55

Row 66

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malaysia - Ipoh

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.81

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.81

Row 67

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Wilmington, Delaware

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

Row 68

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Venezuela - Sucre

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.64

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.64

Row 69

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Japan - Tokyo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.77

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.77

Row 70

(7.20.2.1) Facility

England - Northfield

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

239.09

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 71

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Argentina - Mendoza - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.35

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.35

Row 72

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Taiwan - Kaohsiung

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.51

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.51

Row 73

(7.20.2.1) Facility

England - Cody Park

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

140.57

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

239.29

Row 74

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Colombia - Rio Negro

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

35.3

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

35.3

Row 75

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Brazil - Sao Paulo - Birmann

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3

Row 76

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Argentina - Buenos Aires - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.35

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.35

Row 77

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Dallas, Texas

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

64.59

Row 78

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Germany - Cologne

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.82

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.45

Row 79

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Philippines - Cebu City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

148.64

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

148.64

Row 80

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Colombia - Bogota

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

54.49

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

52

Row 81

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Taiwan - Taipei

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22.47

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

22.47

Row 82

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Uruguay - Montevideo - Regus

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

Row 83

(7.20.2.1) Facility

France - Paris

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.19

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

Row 84

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malaysia - Georgetown

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.43

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.43

Row 86

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malaysia - Alor Setar

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37.44

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

37.44

Row 87

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hong Kong - No 8 Sun Yip Street, Hong Kong

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.72

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.72

Row 89

(7.20.2.1) Facility

England - Leeds

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.24

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

Row 90

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hong Kong, Hong Kong

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.05

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.05

Row 92

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Herndon, Virginia

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.05

Row 93

(7.20.2.1) Facility

India - Gurgaon

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.07

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.07

Row 94

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. -Ashburn, Virginia

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

626

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

627

Row 95

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Australia - Sydney - Rhodes

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4115.6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4115.6

Row 96

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mexico - Mexico City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.46

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.46

Row 97

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malaysia - Petaling Jaya

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

247.25

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

247.25

Row 98

(7.20.2.1) Facility

India RGA - Bangalore

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2304.42

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2304.42

Row 99

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Philippines - Mandaluyong - City Net

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

249.67

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

249.67

Row 100

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lithuania - Vilnius

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.32

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.72

Row 102

(7.20.2.1) Facility

New Zealand - Auckland - Datacomm Orbit

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.66

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.66

Row 103

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Richmond, Virginia

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.92

Row 104

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Brazil - Belo Horizonte (Regus)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.15

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.15

Row 105

(7.20.2.1) Facility

France - Paris (Regus)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.06

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.06

Row 106

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Colombia - Medellin (Regus)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

Row 107

(7.20.2.1) Facility

New Zealand - DATACOMM Fenz

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.42

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 108

(7.20.2.1) Facility

New Zealand - DATACOMM Reseller

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.15

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 109

(7.20.2.1) Facility

New Zealand - DATACOMM Coop Bank

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.4

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 110

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Philippines - Intramuros

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.98

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.98

Row 111

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Philippines - Vito Cruz

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.61

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.61

Row 112

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Philippines - Macapagal

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.83

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.83

Row 113

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Spain - Santiago

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.34

Row 114

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Spain - Witland

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.34

Row 115

(7.20.2.1) Facility

U.S. - Azure

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.91

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 116

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Chile - Santiago

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.17

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

430.32

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

22800.7

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

22127.72

(7.22.4) Please explain

100% of our emissions are allocated to our consolidated accounting group.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

*100% of our emissions are allocated to our consolidated accounting group.
[Fixed row]*

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

Not relevant as we do not have any subsidiaries

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the number of units purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

636519

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

6.99

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Emissions data from electricity.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Through overall company data and allocating the percentage of revenue of customer compared to percentage of CO2 emissions.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 2

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the number of units purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

9713573

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

106.67

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Emissions data from electricity.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Through overall company data and allocating the percentage of revenue of customer compared to percentage of CO2 emissions.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 3

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the number of units purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

7541366

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

82.82

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Emissions data from electricity.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Through overall company data and allocating the percentage of revenue of customer compared to percentage of CO2 emissions.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 4

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the number of units purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

26773742

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

294.02

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Emissions data from electricity.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Through overall company data and allocating the percentage of revenue of customer compared to percentage of CO2 emissions.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 5

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the number of units purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

6517337

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

71.57

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Emissions data from electricity.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Through overall company data and allocating the percentage of revenue of customer compared to percentage of CO2 emissions.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 6

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the number of units purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

2920480

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

32

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Emissions data from electricity.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Through overall company data and allocating the percentage of revenue of customer compared to percentage of CO2 emissions.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 7

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the number of units purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

149727453

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

1644.25

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Emissions data from electricity.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Through overall company data and allocating the percentage of revenue of customer compared to percentage of CO2 emissions.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 8

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the number of units purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

74385060

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

816.87

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Emissions data from electricity.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Through overall company data and allocating the percentage of revenue of customer compared to percentage of CO2 emissions.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

Customer base is too large and diverse to accurately track emissions to the customer level

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

We would need accurate allocation of the resources assigned in support of these engagements

[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

	Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>We will continue to refine record keeping and reporting to allow for more accurate reporting.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from:

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

2585.11

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

2858.11

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

5779.12

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

48172.95

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

53952.07

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

5779.12

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

51031.06

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

56810.18
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

NA

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

NA

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

NA

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Do not use coal in operations.

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

413.87

(7.30.7.8) Comment

NA

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

2444.23

(7.30.7.8) Comment

NA

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

NA

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

2858.11

(7.30.7.8) Comment

NA

[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar, Wind, Nuclear, Hydropower

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

367.89

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Arrangements with utility provider.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

- India

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

- No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in India.

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

- New Zealand

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

- Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Large hydropower (>25 MW)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

8.52

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Arrangements with utility provider.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

New Zealand

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in New Zealand.

Row 3

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

New Zealand

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Large hydropower (>25 MW)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3.12

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Arrangements with utility provider.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

New Zealand

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in New Zealand.

Row 4

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

New Zealand

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Large hydropower (>25 MW)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

8.53

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Arrangements with utility provider.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

New Zealand

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in New Zealand.

Row 5

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

New Zealand

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

- Large hydropower (>25 MW)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

62.02

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Arrangmeent with Electric supplier.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

- New Zealand

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

- No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in New Zealand.

Row 6

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

- Austria

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

- Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

- Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

- Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar, Wind, Nuclear

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

19.35

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Agreement with supplier.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

- Austria

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

- No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in Austria.

Row 7

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

- Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

- Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

- Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar, Wind, Nuclear, Hydropower

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2636.58

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Agreement with supplier.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in United Kingdom.

Row 8

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar, Wind, Nuclear, Hydropower

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1158.94

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Agreement with supplier.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in United Kingdom.

Row 9

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

- Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

- Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar, Wind, Nuclear, Hydropower

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1514.18

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Agreement with supplier.

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

- United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

- No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

We have purchased low-carbon energy through a contractual arrangement with the utility provider to procure a mix of solar, wind, nuclear, and hydropower at this location in United States.

[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3.42

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3.42

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6347.56

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6347.56

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19.35

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

19.35

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

93.29

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

93.29

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2298.09

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2298.09

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

73.07

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

73.07

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.14

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

267.05

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

267.05

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

588.42

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

588.42

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.14

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

57.97

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

57.97

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

111.59

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

111.59

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

108.91

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

108.91

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

352.99

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

352.99

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4112.76

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4112.76

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12.4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12.40

Lithuania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

33.07

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

33.07

Luxembourg

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4.35

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4.35

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

961.77

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

961.77

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.14

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

329.88

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

329.88

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

473.28

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

473.28

Peru

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.14

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

859.93

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

859.93

Puerto Rico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.14

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

100.28

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

100.28

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

28.37

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

28.37

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

47.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

47.10

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5702.09

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5702.09

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30581.26

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

30581.26

Uruguay

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.14

Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

376.99

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

376.99

[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

11.19479851

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

22558

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

2015000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

20.05

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.45.9) Please explain

Decrease in intensity figure is associated with the results of optimization of operations and real estate footprint as well as sourcing renewable energy.

Row 2

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.014678746

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

22558

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

square foot

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

1536747

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

7

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.45.9) Please explain

Downsized data centers and moved to hybrid/remote working conditions.

Row 3

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

1.367122363

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

22558

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

full time equivalent (FTE) employee

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

16500

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

1

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Increased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Business growth led to increased headcount.

(7.45.9) Please explain

Added new positions increasing headcount.

[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

37.2

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Kilowatt hours

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Square feet of space

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Downsized data centers.

Row 3**(7.52.1) Description**

Select from:

Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

3441

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Kilowatt hours

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Headcount

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

9

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Moved associates to home-based work.

Row 4

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

28177.2

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Kilowatt hours

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

38

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Increased revenue but decreased energy usage from downsizing data centers and increasing hom-based work.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

UNIS-USA-001-OFF Certificate.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

03/03/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO₂)
- Methane (CH₄)
- Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

07/12/2020

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

837

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

41688

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

42525.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

75

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

10631.250

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

430.32

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

22127.72

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

22558.040

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

62.60

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target is in alignment with our Science Based Target initiative.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

To achieve a 75% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 (Market-based) by 2030 as compared to our baseline year of 2020.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Continue reducing data centers and creating more WFH jobs to limit or CO2 emissions as well source our energy from renewable resources. To achieve further reductions, we plan to optimize energy efficiency in our operations, identifying the right-size of our real estate footprint to align with a hybrid working model, and pursue economically feasible opportunities to source renewable power.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Net-zero targets

Other climate-related targets

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

01/01/2020

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Absolute

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & Metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Net emissions target

Net metric tons CO2e

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

01/31/2020

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

0

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

75

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

50

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

66.6666666667

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

This target is part of our net zero greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions from Scope 1 and 2 sources by 2030 (the “Net Zero Goal”). We define “net zero” as the state achieved when our anthropogenic Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions to the atmosphere are balanced by anthropogenic removals. Our definition of net zero and our Net Zero Goal are limited to our Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions sources and do not encompass Scope 3 GHG emissions. Our Net Zero Goal is not validated in connection with the Science Based Targets Initiative’s Corporate Net-Zero Standard or classified as a “net zero” target by the Science Based Targets Initiative.

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Science Based targets initiative - approved other

(7.54.2.17) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

IG_Unisys - Independent Assurance Statement (2024) FINAL.pdf

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

We have taken an important first step on the journey to our Net Zero Goal with a near-term target, validated by the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi), to reduce absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions by 75% by 2030 from a 2020 base year (SBTi deemed this target to be in conformance with SBTi Criteria and Recommendations - version 4.2). This Net Zero target was not validated in connection with SBTi’s Corporate Net-Zero Standard or classified as a “net zero” target by SBT, and we intend to assess options to further address our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by exploring options for harder to abate Scope 1 and 2 emissions including tools such as Renewable Energy Credits for Scope 2 emissions

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

Get to Net-Zero Goal

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Will continue with current process and plans of getting to our Science Based Targets.

Row 2

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 2

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Absolute

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & Metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Engagement with suppliers

Percentage of suppliers (by emissions) with a science-based target

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

01/31/2022

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

0

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

01/01/2027

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

78

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

61

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

78.2051282051

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Scope 3 emissions from Categories 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, and 12 accounted for 90.1% of our total emissions for the 2020 base year. Unisys Corporation commits that 78% of its suppliers by spend covering purchased goods and services and capital goods (Categories 1, and 2) will have science-based aligned targets by 2027 (covering 82.3% of base year scope 3 GHG emissions). This Supplier Engagement target was set/submitted in 2022 and approved by SBTi in February 2023.

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Science Based Targets initiative – approved supplier engagement target

(7.54.2.17) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

UNIS-USA-001-OFF Certificate.pdf

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

We submitted a new SBT (covering 82.3% of base year scope 3 GHG emissions) to engage 78% of our suppliers by spend covering our Purchased Goods & Services and Capital Goods categories who are responsible for these scope 3 emissions and require them to set their own science-based targets by 2027. This Supplier Engagement target was set/submitted in 2022 and approved by SBTi in February 2023.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

Lower our overall company GHG emissions

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Will continue to work with suppliers on helping them set their own science-based targets and align with our 1.5 C goal.
[Add row]

(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Row 1

(7.54.3.1) Target reference number

Select from:

NZ1

(7.54.3.2) Date target was set

01/01/2020

(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

Abs1

(7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

12/31/2030

(7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

No, but we are reporting another target that is science-based

(7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2

(7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

Carbon dioxide (CO2)

Methane (CH4)

Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

In 2022, Unisys established a new target for net zero greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions from Scope 1 and 2 sources by 2030 (the “Net Zero Goal”). We define “net zero” as the state achieved when our anthropogenic Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions to the atmosphere are balanced by anthropogenic removals. Our definition of net zero and our Net Zero Goal are limited to our Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions sources and do not encompass Scope 3 GHG emissions. Our Net Zero Goal is not validated in connection with the Science Based Targets Initiative’s Corporate Net-Zero Standard or classified as a “net zero” target by the Science Based Targets Initiative. Since we are aligning with Paris agreement, other GHG emissions such as N2O and CH4 are indirectly covered in our goals.

(7.54.3.11) Target objective

We have taken an important first step on the journey to our Net Zero Goal with a near-term target, validated by the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi), to reduce absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions by 75% by 2030 from a 2020 base year (SBTi deemed this target to be in conformance with SBTi Criteria and Recommendations - version 4.2). This target was not validated in connection with SBTi’s Corporate Net-Zero Standard or classified as a “net zero” target by SBT, and

we intend to assess options to further address our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by exploring options for harder to abate Scope 1 and 2 emissions including tools such as Renewable Energy Credits for Scope 2 emissions

(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

Yes

(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

Yes, and we have already acted on this in the reporting year

(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?

Select all that apply

Yes, we plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for beyond value chain mitigation

(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target

When we hit our Scope 1 and 2 emissions reductions goals, we will reduce our CO2 emissions even further by buying carbon credits. Carbon credits are financial instruments where the buyer pays another company to take some action to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions, and the buyer gets credit for the reduction.

(7.54.3.16) Describe the actions to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain

Engage and work with our suppliers to help them set and achieve net zero emissions goals. Encourage them to buy carbon credits to offset their absolute emissions.

(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

Review annually GHG emissions and total CO2 footprint company wide and compare year after year until we hit our 75% reduction goal. Once we hit this goal we will neutralize with carbon offsets.

[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	0	<i>Numeric input</i>
To be implemented	1	13000
Implementation commenced	0	0
Implemented	3	7170
Not to be implemented	0	<i>Numeric input</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Company policy or behavioral change

- Site consolidation/closure

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

370

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)
- Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods & services

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2750000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1500000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

6-10 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

NA

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

Low-carbon electricity mix

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1600

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

300000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

500000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

<1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

NA

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Other, please specify :Use of renewable energy

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

5200

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

720000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

500000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

<1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

1-2 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

NA

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Financial optimization calculations

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Evaluation of costs to implement carbon reduction activities and the annual savings to calculate a payback period
[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

- No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

- Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

- Product or service

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Cloud Based Computing

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Other

Other, please specify :Computer Systems

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

Computer solutions that allow for cloud or hybrid computing and make the consumers day to day easier and more streamlined.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

Yes

(7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

Other, please specify :Comparison of the previous solution to the actual results from the new solution

(7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

Use stage

(7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

The servers provide high volume data transactions for a period greater than 5 years

(7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

Migration to co-location data centers and technology upgrades.

(7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

Select from:

Use stage

(7.74.1.11) Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO2e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario

1800

(7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

The previous solutions had GHG emissions of approximately 2,800 metric tonnes and the resulting solution has approximately 1,000 metric tonnes of GHG emissions for a net reduction of 1,800 metric tonnes.

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

10

[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

No

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
Ramsar sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

Fuel consumption

Base year emissions

Emissions breakdown by country/area

Year on year change in emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2)

- Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption
- Year on year change in absolute emissions (Scope 1 and 2)

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

- AA1000AS

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Third party verification looked at Energy consumption, Scope 1 GHG emissions, Scope 2 GHG emissions (location-based), and Scope 2 GHG emissions (market-based). Assurance process includes sourcing utility data to populate relevant data management systems, Enforcing management and quality controls across the reporting period, Aggregating and converting metrics into the correct unit of measure, Calculating greenhouse gas emissions, and Disclosing all totals correctly.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

IG_Unisys - Independent Assurance Statement (2024) FINAL.pdf
[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

(13.2.1) Additional information

Our company has provided a plethora of information, data, and scopes that should help our customers understand our goals and plans to reduce our overall company's effect on climate change.

(13.2.2) Attachment (optional)

Climate change and carbon emissions.pdf
[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Chief Executive Officer

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

[Fixed row]

